## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Mateo Velasquez-Garcia	Case No. 1:14-cr-00045-RHB
	Defendant	0.000 1101 1111 0.000 10 11112
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act efendant be detained pending trial.	, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings	of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that woulexisted – that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death o	r life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convidue. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	cted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 l offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim	
		uctive device or any other dangerous weapon )
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has no	
	Alternative Finding	ngs (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	mmitted an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	is prescribed in: *
4-1	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the s	
/ (1)	Alternative Finding	ngs (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	-f-tf
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	
	Part II – Statement of the Rea	
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detent a preponderance of the evidence that:	tion hearing establishes by <u>▼</u> clear and convincing
2. Defer	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest det ndant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be re ndant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the co	eleased in any case.
	Part III – Directions Regar	rding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	March 25, 2014	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	_